For the Northern District of California

| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | REGINALD DICKERSON, LLUID | No. C 08-03773 WHA |
| 11 | HALL, BRANDON REED, AND HARRISON BROWN, | |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER REGARDING NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT |
| 13 | v. | FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2009 |
| 14 | CAL WASTE SOLUTIONS, JIMMY DUONG, RUTH LUI, OSCAR RAMIREZ, STAN BEAL, RICH GROGRAN AND DOES 1-50, | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Defendants/ | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | The Court is in receipt of plaintiff's notice of settlement filed on September 11, 2009. | |
| 20 | This filing is insufficient to suspend the deadlines currently in place, which include a pretrial | |
| 21 | conference scheduled for September 28, 2009, and a trial date of October 13, 2009. In the | |
| 22 | Court's case management order dated October 2, 2008, paragraph 12 provides as follows (Dkt | |
| 23 | No. 40): | |
| 24 | To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings | |
| 25 | and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the | |
| 26 | case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a fully-executed settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure pending a formal dismissal.

Notwithstanding the above admonition, plaintiff's submission states that "the parties in the above action have negotiated a settlement. Upon the finalization of the settlement, [p]laintiffs will dismiss this action in its entirety" (Dkt No. 174). This is not enough. A copy of a fully-executed settlement agreement is not attached to the verify the above statement. Moreover, it is unclear from the notice when exactly a stipulated request for dismissal will be filed with the Court. As such, the parties must honor all deadlines until a complete dismissal is entered.

Should, however, the parties submit a fully-executed settlement agreement with specific proof that only a ministerial act remains, the Court will set a telephone conference to consider an alternate procedure pending the formal dismissal of this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 15, 2009.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE